Answer on Question #75211- Math – Linear Algebra

solve the system of equations

with by using the Gauss Jacoboi and Gauss Seidel method. The exact solution of the system is . Perform the required number of iteration so that the same accuracy is obtained by birth the methods. What conclusions can you draw from the result obtained?

**Solution**

Apply Jacobi’s method to the system

(2)

Take as an initial approximation to the solution, and use six iterations (that is, compute x(1) ,..., x(9)).

For some *k*, denote the entries in *x*(*k*) by (x1,x2,x3,x4) and the entries in *x*(*k*-1) by (y1,y2,y3,y4). The recursion

can be written as

and

(3)

A faster way to get (3) is to solve the ﬁrst equation in (2) for x1, the second equation for x2, the third for x3, the fourth for x4 and then rename the variables on the left as y1, y2, y3 and y4 respectively.

For *k*=0, take x(0)=(x1,x2,x3,x4)=(0, 0, 0, 0), and compute

x(1)=(y1,y2,y3,y4)=(4/8, 23/11, -13/10, 13/8)=(0.5,2.09,-1.3,1.625)

For k=1, use the entries in x(1) as x1,x2,x3,x4 in (3) and compute the new y1,y2,y3,y4:

Thus x(2)=(1.086,1.666,-1.347,1.076). The entries in x(2) are used on the right in (3) to compute the entries in x(3), and so on. Here are the results, with calculations using EXCEL and results reported to four decimal places:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x(0) | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| x(1) | 0,5000 | 2,0909 | -1,3000 | 1,6250 |
| x(2) | 1,0864 | 1,6659 | -1,3466 | 1,0761 |
| x(3) | 1,0449 | 1,9713 | -1,3590 | 0,9768 |
| x(4) | 1,0862 | 1,9859 | -1,3994 | 0,9475 |
| x(5) | 1,0981 | 2,0015 | -1,4038 | 0,9303 |
| x(6) | 1,1011 | 2,0091 | -1,4071 | 0,9248 |
| x(7) | 1,1029 | 2,0111 | -1,4084 | 0,9227 |
| x(8) | 1,1035 | 2,0120 | -1,4088 | 0,9218 |
| x(9) | 1,1037 | 2,0124 | -1,4090 | 0,9215 |

if we decide to stop when the entries in x(k) and x(k-1) differ by less than 0.001, then we need 8 iterations (k=8).

Apply the Gauss–Seidel method to the system in Example 1 with and 9 iterations.

For some *k*, denote the entries in *x*(*k*) by (x1,x2,x3,x4) and the entries in *x*(*k*-1) by (y1,y2,y3,y4). The recursion

or

We will work with one equation at a time. When we reach the second equation, y1 is already known, so we can move it to the right side. Likewise, in the third equation y1 and y2 are known, so we move them to the right. Dividing by the coefﬁcients of the terms remaining on the left, we obtain

(4)

For *k*=0, take x(0)=(x1,x2,x3,x4)=(0, 0, 0, 0), and compute

x(1)=(y1,y2,y3,y4)=(0.5,2.227,-1.523,1.031)

The entries in x(1) are used on the right in (4) to compute the entries in x(2), and so on. Here are the results, with calculations using EXCEL and results reported to four decimal places:

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| x(0) | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 | 0,0000 |
| x(1) | 0,5000 | 2,2273 | -1,5227 | 1,0313 |
| x(2) | 1,1591 | 1,9873 | -1,3956 | 0,9124 |
| x(3) | 1,0973 | 2,0145 | -1,4102 | 0,9226 |
| x(4) | 1,1044 | 2,0123 | -1,4090 | 0,9213 |
| x(5) | 1,1038 | 2,0126 | -1,4091 | 0,9213 |
| x(6) | 1,1039 | 2,0126 | -1,4091 | 0,9213 |
| x(7) | 1,1039 | 2,0126 | -1,4091 | 0,9213 |
| x(8) | 1,1039 | 2,0126 | -1,4091 | 0,9213 |
| x(9) | 1,1039 | 2,0126 | -1,4091 | 0,9213 |

when k is 5, the entries in x(k) and x(k-1) differ by less than 0.001.

**Answer:** We need 8 iterations (k=8) for Gauss Jacoboi’s method and 5 iterations (k=5) for Gauss-Seidel method. In this example the Gauss–Seidel method converges faster than Jacobi’s method.